



Registry Services Evaluation Policy (RSEP) Request

April 01, 2026

Registry Operator

VeriSign, Inc.

Request Details

Case Number: 01581612

This Registry Services Evaluation Policy (RSEP) request form should be submitted for review by ICANN org when a registry operator is adding, modifying, or removing a Registry Service for a TLD or group of TLDs.

The RSEP Process webpage provides additional information about the process and lists RSEP requests that have been reviewed and/or approved by ICANN org. If you are proposing a service that was previously approved, we encourage you to respond similarly to the most recently approved request(s) to facilitate ICANN org's review.

Certain known Registry Services are identified in the Naming Services portal (NSp) case type list under "RSEP Fast Track" (example: "RSEP Fast Track – BTAPPA"). If you would like to submit a request for one of these services, please exit this case and select the specific Fast Track case type. Unless the service is identified under RSEP Fast Track, all other RSEP requests should be submitted through this form.

1. PROPOSED SERVICE DESCRIPTION

1.1. Name of proposed service.

Discontinuation of the Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services.

1.2. Provide a general description of the proposed service including the impact to external users and how it will be offered.

Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS are legacy services unique to the .name TLD. They were designed to provide public access to registration data in a "tiered" structure. The Summary WHOIS service was designed to provide very limited registration data in response to user queries. The Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services were initiated to provide password protected registrant contact information. As a result of Verisign's implementation of the Registration Data Consensus Policy ("Registration Data Policy"), these services are no longer necessary. Accordingly, this RSEP seeks to discontinue the Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services.

1.3. Provide a technical description of the proposed service.

Verisign currently provides access to registration data in the .name TLD in compliance with the requirements set forth in the Registration Data Policy, including accepting the registrar transfer of registration data elements specified in Sections 7.1 and 7.2 of the Registration Data Policy. The Detailed WHOIS service was designed to provide password-protected public access to registrant, billing, administrative and technical contact information associated with registrations in the .name TLD, with the exception of registrant e-mail addresses, registrant telephone numbers and registrant fax numbers. Following Verisign's implementation of the Registration Data Policy, the information originally designed to be included in the Detailed WHOIS service is no longer required to be transferred to the registry by registrars. As a result, all of the data elements now available in the Detailed WHOIS service are also now available in response to queries of Verisign's "standard" implementation of RDDS services pursuant to the Registration Data Policy.

The Extensive WHOIS service was designed to provide password-protected public access to registrant e-mail addresses, registrant telephone numbers, registrant fax numbers, and registrant, billing, administrative and technical contact information associated with email forwarding registrations in the .name TLD. Following Verisign's implementation of the Registration Data Policy, the information originally designed to be included in the Extensive WHOIS service is no longer required to be transferred to the registry by registrars. As a result, all of the data elements now available in the Extensive WHOIS service are also now available in response to queries of Verisign's "standard" implementation of RDDS services pursuant to the Registration Data Policy.

Discontinuation of Summary, Detailed, and Extensive WHOIS will not impact Verisign's current support of standard port-43 WHOIS and Web-WHOIS for the .name TLD.

1.4. If this proposed service has already been approved by ICANN org, identify and provide a link to the RSEP request for the same service that was most recently approved.

The Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services originated as part of the original 2001 .name Registry Agreement between Global Name Registry (GNR) and ICANN. No other TLD offers a Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS or Extensive WHOIS service and ICANN has not previously approved a RSEP requesting discontinuation of the Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS or Extensive WHOIS services.

1.5. Describe the benefits of the proposed service and who would benefit from the proposed service.

Removing the Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services will increase efficiency for the operation of the .name TLD.

1.6. Describe the timeline for implementation of the proposed service.

Verisign will begin the process of discontinuing the Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services immediately upon approval from ICANN.

1.7. If additional information should be considered with the description of the proposed service, attach one or more file(s) below.

1.8. If the proposed service adds or modifies Internationalized Domain Name (IDN) languages or scripts that have already been approved in another RSEP request or are considered pre-approved by ICANN org, provide (a) a reference to the RSEP request, TLD(s), and IDN table(s) that were already approved or (b) a link to the pre-approved Reference Label Generation Rules (LGR). Otherwise, indicate “not applicable.”

Not applicable.

2. SECURITY AND STABILITY

2.1. What effect, if any, will the proposed service have on the life cycle of domain names?

None. There will not be any effect on the life cycle of domain names.

2.2. Does the proposed service alter the storage and input of Registry Data?

No. There will not be any alteration to the storage and input of Registry Data.

2.3. Explain how the proposed service will affect the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems.

There will be no effect on the throughput, response time, consistency or coherence of responses to Internet servers or end systems.

2.4. Have technical concerns been raised about the proposed service? If so, identify the concerns and describe how you intend to address those concerns.

No. Not applicable.

2.5. Describe the quality assurance plan and/or testing of the proposed service prior to deployment.

The services will be internally tested prior to discontinuation.

2.6. Identify and list any relevant RFCs or White Papers on the proposed service and explain how those papers are relevant.

Not applicable.

3. COMPETITION

3.1. Do you believe the proposed service would have any positive or negative effects on competition? If so, please explain.

No, there will be no effect on competition.

3.2. How would you define the markets in which the proposed service would compete?

Not applicable. There will be no effect on the domain name market.

3.3. What companies/entities provide services or products that are similar in substance or effect to the proposed service?

None. No other TLD offers Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services.

3.4. In view of your status as a Registry Operator, would the introduction of the proposed service potentially affect the ability of other companies/entities that provide similar products or services to compete?

Not applicable.

3.5. Do you propose to work with a vendor or contractor to provide the proposed service? If so, what is the name of the vendor/contractor and describe the nature of the services the vendor/contractor would provide.

No. Not applicable.

3.6. Have you communicated with any of the entities whose products or services might be affected by the introduction of your proposed service? If so, please describe the communications.

No. Not applicable.

3.7. If you have any documents that address the possible effects on competition of the proposed service, attach them below. ICANN will keep the documents confidential.

4. CONTRACTUAL PROVISIONS

4.1. List the relevant contractual provisions impacted by the proposed service. This includes, but is not limited to, Consensus Policies, previously approved amendments or services, Reserved Names, and Rights Protection Mechanisms.

Appendix 5A to the .name Registry Agreement references Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services. As discussed with ICANN during the consultations, Verisign will seek revisions to these provisions following discontinuation of the services.

4.2. What effect, if any, will the proposed service have on the reporting of data to ICANN?

There will be no effect on the reporting of data to ICANN.

4.3. What effect, if any, will the proposed service have on Registration Data Directory Service (RDDS)?*

None. Verisign will continue to offer RDDS services for the .name TLD in compliance with the Registration Data Policy and the applicable terms of Appendix 5A of the .name Registry Agreement.

4.4. What effect, if any, will the proposed service have on the price of a domain name registration?

None.

4.5. Will the proposed service result in a change to a Material Subcontracting Arrangement (MSA) as defined by the Registry Agreement? If so, identify and describe the change. Please note that a change to an MSA requires consent from ICANN org through the MSA change request process. The RSEP request must be approved prior to submitting the MSA change request.

No.

5. AUTHORIZATION LANGUAGE

5.1. A Registry Agreement (RA) amendment is required when the proposed service: (i) contradicts existing provisions in the RA or (ii) is not contemplated in the RA and, therefore, needs to be added to Exhibit A of the RA and/or as an appropriate addendum/appendix. If applicable, provide draft language (or a link to previously approved RA amendment language) describing the service to be used in an RA amendment if the proposed service is approved. If an RA amendment is not applicable, respond with “N/A” and provide a complete response to question 5.2.*

For examples or for IDN services, you may refer to the webpage for standard RA template amendments for commonly requested Registry Services.

References to the Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services in Appendix 5A of the .NAME Registry Agreement are required to remain until the final discontinuation of the Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services.

Following ICANN's approval of the RSEP, Verisign will be authorized to discontinue the Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services. No amendment will be required until the services have been discontinued.

5.2. If the proposed service is permissible under an existing provision in the Registry Agreement, identify the provision and provide rationale. If not applicable, respond with "N/A" and provide a complete response to question 5.1.

Not applicable.

6. CONSULTATION

6.1. ICANN org encourages you to set up a consultation call through your Engagement Manager prior to submitting this RSEP request. This is to help ensure that necessary information is assembled ahead of time.

Identify if and when you had a consultation call with ICANN org. If you did not request a consultation call, provide rationale.

Verisign met with ICANN on October 15, 2025, November 4, 2025, and December 16, 2025.

6.2. Describe your consultations with the community, experts, and/or others. This can include, but is not limited to, the relevant community for a sponsored or community TLD, registrars or the registrar constituency, end users and/or registrants, or other constituency groups. What were the quantity, nature, and results of the consultations? How will the proposed service impact these groups? Which groups support or oppose this proposed service?

No consultations took place as there are no current users of the Summary WHOIS, Detailed WHOIS and Extensive WHOIS services. The discontinuation of the services will have no material impact on registrars, the registrar constituency or other constituency groups.

7. OTHER

7.1. Would there be any intellectual property impact or considerations raised by the proposed service?

No.

7.2. Does the proposed service contain intellectual property exclusive to your gTLD registry?

No.

7.3. Provide any other relevant information to include with the request. If none, respond with “N/A.”

None.

7.4. If additional information should be considered, attach one or more file(s) below.

Affected TLDs

Current Registry Operator	Top Level Domain	Registry Agreement Date
VeriSign, Inc.	.name	2012-12-01