

Monday, February 23, 2026 at 7:26:09 AM Pacific Standard Time

Subject: [ccNSO-Tracker] Fwd: [Ext] Clarification on ccTLD Review Mechanism Implementation: Reviewer Selection
Date: Monday, February 23, 2026 at 6:30:10 AM Pacific Standard Time
From: Alejandra Reynoso Barral via ccNSO-Tracker
To: ccNSO Tracker
Attachments: ATT00001.txt

Please add to the correspondence page 

Work like you don't need the money.

Love like you've never been hurt.

Dance like nobody's watching.

Sing like nobody's listening.

And live like it's Heaven on Earth.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Russ Weinstein** <russ.weinstein@icann.org>

Date: Mon, Feb 23, 2026 at 7:50 AM

Subject: Re: [Ext] Clarification on ccTLD Review Mechanism Implementation: Reviewer Selection

To: Alejandra Reynoso Barral <alejandra.reynoso@gmail.com>

Cc: ccnso-ccpaigwg@icann.org <ccnso-ccpaigwg@icann.org>

Dear Alejandra,

Thank you for this note. We appreciate your confirmation and question. The intention is infact to have a “pool” of reviewers on standby of sufficient size to allow for actual choice by the participants.

Thanks,

Russ

From: Alejandra Reynoso Barral <alejandra.reynoso@gmail.com>
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 2026 at 2:57 AM
To: Russ Weinstein <russ.weinstein@icann.org>
Cc: "ccnso-ccpaigwg@icann.org" <ccnso-ccpaigwg@icann.org>
Subject: [Ext] Clarification on ccTLD Review Mechanism Implementation: Reviewer Selection

Dear Russ,

Thank you for the note on the implementation of the cc Review Mechanism, specifically regarding the selection of non pre-certified reviewers by a Claimant:

“The IFO and the Claimant will each choose a Reviewer. The proposed Reviewers do not have to be from the list of pre-certified Reviewers. If the candidates are not from the list of pre-certified Reviewers, they will have to be certified by the Manager prior to undertaking any work on the case.”

Considering the principles underlying the cc Review Mechanism - the total duration should be limited, the process must be fast and cost effective, and fundamentally fair, we appreciate your interpretation that in principle the reviewers should be selected from the pool of pre-certified reviewers. However, we also believe that this requires that the pool of pre-certified reviewers is large enough to allow for a choice, both by the Claimant and the IFO (potentially a standing pool of around 8-10 reviewers).

We would appreciate it if the ICANN team could assure that the goal is to create such a standing pool, either in a note or by having a call.

On behalf of the ccPAIG,
Alejandra Reynoso

Work like you don't need the money.
Love like you've never been hurt.
Dance like nobody's watching.
Sing like nobody's listening.
And live like it's Heaven on Earth.
