

---

# Public Comment Summary Report

## Initial Report on the ccNSO PDP Review Mechanism

**Open for Submissions Date:**

Tuesday, 29 November 2022

**Closed for Submissions Date:**

Tuesday, 24 January 2023

**Summary Report Due Date:**

Tuesday, 07 March 2023 (extended from Tuesday, 07 February 2023)

**Category:** Policy

**Requester:** Country Code Names Supporting Organization (ccNSO)

**ICANN org Contact(s):** [bart.boswinkel@icann.org](mailto:bart.boswinkel@icann.org)

**Open Proceeding Link:**

<https://www.icann.org/en/public-comment/proceeding/initial-report-on-the-ccnso-pdp-review-mechanism-29-11-2022>

**Outcome:**

In total four (4) submissions were received: two (2) from community groups and two (2) from Individuals.

The comments are categorized as general observations and specific issues. This Public Comment summary report includes the ICANN org staff summary of the comments and observations on the topics raised by the submitters in relation to the scope of the policy recommendations.

The working group will review the comments in more detail and where needed, adjust the recommended policy. The review will be included in the working groups final report.

## Section 1: What We Received Input On

The ccNSO Policy Development Process Review Mechanism Working Group (ccPDP3WG-RM) has completed its initial draft for a recommended policy for a mechanism to review specific decisions pertaining to the delegation, transfer, revocation, and retirement of country code top-level domains (ccTLDs). Your input and comments, if any, were sought on the initial proposed policy.

---

## 2: Submissions

<b>Organizations and Groups:</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Submitted by</b>	<b>Initials</b>
ALAC	At-Large Advisory Committee	ALAC
NIC United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (UKGBNI)	Not provided	UKGBNI

  

<b>Individuals:</b>		
<b>Name</b>	<b>Affiliation (if provided)</b>	<b>Initials</b>
Saad, Nojus	N/A	NS
Hossain, Md Jahangir		MJH

## Section 3: Summary of Submissions

### General comments

The ALAC explicitly supported the proposed policy and raised some points for consideration.

MJH appreciates the initiative of ccNSO PDP Review Mechanism.

### Specific comments

The ALAC stresses the importance of ensuring that any review mechanism process does not result in end user confusion or inconvenience. Country Code Top-Level Domain (ccTLD) end users must be prioritized when performing any review mechanism. Commitment to due process and policies such as these will help ensure the consideration of end users.

The NS submission was on the need of the community for more transparency, diversity, and multi-stakeholder management of the operations of the ccTLD PDPs and specifically the operators - especially prioritizing the integration of a digital rights strategy and principle that ensures the privacy, security, and inclusivity of ccTLD end-users. These comments refer to the policy development process as such, which is out of scope of this Public Comment process.

MJH suggested the need to ensure that the current delegation or assignment of ccTLDs should not be taking place until ccTLDs delegator/manager requested for a Transfer, Retirement, or Revocation based on policy developed by the ccNSO.

The UKGBNI submission referenced the operation of a ccTLDs and the retirement of a specific ccTLD. Both topics are out of scope of the mandate of a ccNSO policy development process and will therefore not be considered by the working group

## Section 4: Analysis of Submissions

---

The working group will review the comments in more detail and where needed, adjust the recommended policy. The review will be included in the working groups final report.

## Section 5: Next Steps

See section 4