

# Staff Report of Public Comment Proceeding

## Addendum to the Initial Report of the Expedited Policy Development Process (EPDP) on the Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data Team – Phase 2

**Publication Date:** 21 May 2020

**Prepared By:** Caitlin Tubergen

### Public Comment Proceeding

Open Date: 26 March 2020

Close Date: 5 May 2020

Staff Report Due Date: 19 May 2020

### Important Information Links

[Announcement](#)

[Public Comment Proceeding](#)

[View Comments Submitted](#)

**Staff Contact:** Caitlin Tubergen

**Email:** Policy-staff@icann.org

### Section I: General Overview and Next Steps

This Public Comment proceeding seeks to obtain input on the Addendum to the Initial Report of the Phase 2 EPDP on the Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data Team. In order to organize its work, the EPDP Team agreed to divide its work into priority 1 and priority 2 topics. Priority 1 consists of the System for Standardized Access / Disclosure to non-public registration data (SSAD) and all directly-related questions which were addressed in the EPDP Team's Initial Report that was published on 7 February 2020. The Addendum concerns the EPDP Team's preliminary recommendations and/or conclusions on the following priority 2 items:

- Display of information of affiliated vs. accredited privacy / proxy providers
- Legal vs. natural persons
- City field redaction
- Data retention
- Potential Purpose for ICANN's Office of the Chief Technology Officer
- Feasibility of unique contacts to have a uniform anonymized email address
- Accuracy and WHOIS Accuracy Reporting System
- Purpose 2

The EPDP Team is now carefully reviewing Community input and will consider it when developing final responses to charter questions, final recommendations, and implementation guidance in its Final Report. The EPDP Team will submit its Final Report to the GNSO Council for its consideration. Following approval by the GNSO, the GNSO Council will submit the Final Report to the ICANN Board for its consideration.

## Section II: Contributors

*At the time this report was prepared, a total of twenty-eight (28) community submissions had been posted to the forum. The contributors, both individuals and organizations/groups, are listed below in chronological order by posting date with initials noted. To the extent that quotations are used in the foregoing narrative (Section III), such citations will reference the contributor's initials.*

### Organizations and Groups:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Submitted by</b>
Motion Picture Association	Franck Journoud
Federation de l'industrie horlogère	Delphine Sarbach
ANDEMA	Javier González
Comité Colbert	Laurent Dhennequin
AIM - European Brands Association	Marie Pattullo
CHANEL	Romain Mallet
Moncler SpA	Stella Padovani
Legal Director	David Saussinan
LONGCHAMP	LONGCHAMP
Afnic	Marianne Georgelin
Marques External Relations Officer	Alessandra Romeo
National Association of Boards of Pharmacy	Gertrude Levine
International Trademark Association (INTA)	Lori Schulman
RrSG	Graeme Bunton
NetChoice	Steve DelBianco
Blacknight	Michele Neylon
IPC	Brian King
RrSG	Zoe Bonython
MarkMonitor	Brian King
Winterfeldt IP Group	Brian Winterfeldt and Griffin Barnett
Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG)	Samantha Demetriou
ARTICLE 19	Ephraim Percy Kenyanito
ALAC/At-Large Advisory Committee	At-Large Staff
ICANN org	Eleeza Agopian
InfoNetworks LLC	Michael Palage
GAC	GAC Small Group
GNSO/NCSG	Amr Elsadr

### Individuals:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Affiliation (if provided)</b>
Budthed Suttiong	

## Section III: Summary of Comments

*General Disclaimer: This section intends to summarize broadly and comprehensively the comments submitted to this public comment proceeding but does not address every specific position stated by each contributor. The preparer recommends that readers interested in specific aspects of any of the summarized comments, or the full context of others, refer directly to the specific contributions at the link referenced above (View Comments Submitted).*

To facilitate its review of the public comments, the staff support team developed a set of [public comment review tools and discussion tables](#), which provide a high-level assessment of the views expressed on the preliminary recommendations as well as the detailed comments

provided by each contributor.

All contributions received can be reviewed here:

<https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=126430750>.

#### **Section IV: Analysis of Comments**

*General Disclaimer: This section intends to provide an analysis and evaluation of the comments submitted along with explanations regarding the basis for any recommendations provided within the analysis.*

The EPDP Team is responsible for the review and analysis of comments, and will be reviewing all comments via the [public comment review tools and discussion tables](#), and further deliberations during meetings. Please note at the time of publication of this report, review of the comments by the EPDP Team is still underway. Please find a link to the EPDP Team's wiki page for all meeting recordings and transcripts:

<https://community.icann.org/display/EOTSFGRD>.