

Report of Public Comments

Title:	Locking of a Domain Name Subject to UDRP Proceedings Policy Development Process (PDP) Recommendations for Board Consideration		
Publication Date:	4 September 2013		
Prepared By:	Marika Konings		
Comment Period:		Important Information Links	
Comment Open Date:	2 August 2013		Announcement
Comment Close Date:	23 August 2013		Public Comment Box
Reply Close Date:	N/A		View Comments Submitted
Time (UTC):	23:59 UTC		
Staff Contact:	Marika Konings	Email:	Policy-staff@icann.org
Section I: General Overview and Next Steps			
<p>The Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO) unanimously approved at its meeting on 1 August 2013 the recommendations of the Locking of a Domain Name subject to UDRP Proceedings PDP Working Group. The 17 recommendations, which are pending for Board action, are intended to clarify and standardize the process for locking of a domain name subject to UDRP Proceedings, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Definition of 'locking' • Requiring registrar to apply lock within 2 business days following request for verification • Removing obligation for complainant to notify the respondent at the time of filing, but add automatic extension of 4 days to response time upon request • Step by step clarification of requirements of different parties involved • Development of educational and informational materials to assist in informing affected parties of new requirements and recommended best practices <p>For the full details of these recommendations, please review section 7 of the Final Report [PDF, 1.01 MB].</p> <p>Interested parties were invited to submit their comments before final consideration of these recommendations by the ICANN Board. This report of public comments as well as other supporting materials will now be provided to the ICANN Board for its review as it considers the recommendations for approval.</p>			
Section II: Contributors			
<p><i>At the time this report was prepared, a total of one (1) community submissions had been posted to the Forum. The contributors, both individuals and organizations/groups, are listed below in chronological order by posting date with initials noted. To the extent that quotations are used in the foregoing narrative (Section III), such citations will reference the contributor's initials.</i></p>			
Organizations and Groups:			
Name	Submitted by	Initials	
Business Constituency	Steve DelBianco	BC	
Section III: Summary of Comments			
<p><i>General Disclaimer: This section is intended to broadly and comprehensively summarize the comments submitted to this Forum, but not to address every specific position stated by each contributor. Staff</i></p>			

recommends that readers interested in specific aspects of any of the summarized comments, or the full context of others, refer directly to the specific contributions at the link referenced above (View Comments Submitted).

Note: At the time of the closing of the initial comment period, no contributions were received. As a result, the reply period was cancelled. The contribution of the BC was received on 1 September 2013. As the contribution was in support of the proposed recommendations, it was not deemed necessary to re-open the public comment forum.

The BC expresses its support for the proposed recommendations and ‘urges the ICANN Board to accept these PDP recommendations’. Furthermore, the BC recommends timely development of educational and informational materials ‘to quickly facilitate the adoption and comprehension of these best practices’.

Section IV: Analysis of Comments

General Disclaimer: This section is intended to provide an analysis and evaluation of the comments received along with explanations regarding the basis for any recommendations provided within the analysis.

The report of public comments will be provided to the ICANN Board for its consideration together with other relevant materials.