ARTICLE 4 ACCOUNTABILITY AND REVIEW

\*\*\*

Section 4.2. RECONSIDERATION

\*\*\*\*

- (c) A Requestor may submit a request for reconsideration or review of an ICANN action or inaction ("**Reconsideration Request**") to the extent that the Requestor has been adversely affected by:
  - (i) One or more Board or Staff actions or inactions that contradict ICANN's Mission, Commitments, Core Values and/or established ICANN policy(ies);
  - (ii) One or more actions or inactions of the Board or Staff that have been taken or refused to be taken without consideration of material information, except where the Requestor could have submitted, but did not submit, the information for the Board's or Staff's consideration at the time of action or refusal to act; or
  - (iii) One or more actions or inactions of the Board or Staff that are taken as a result of the Board's or staff's reliance on false or inaccurate relevant information.
- (d) Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section 4.2, the scope of reconsideration shall exclude the following:
  - (i) Disputes relating to country code top-level domain ("ccTLD") delegations and redelegations;
  - (ii) Disputes relating to Internet numbering resources; and
  - (iii) Disputes relating to protocol parameters; and-
  - (iv) Disputes relating to decisions to approve or not approve an application to the ICANN Grant Program.

\*\*\*

## Section 4.3. INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROCESS FOR COVERED ACTIONS

(a) In addition to the reconsideration process described in Section 4.2, ICANN shall have a separate process for independent third-party review of Disputes (defined in Section 4.3(b)(iii)) alleged by a Claimant (as defined in Section 4.3(b)(i)) to be within the scope of the Independent Review Process ("IRP"). The IRP is intended to hear and resolve Disputes for the following purposes ("Purposes of the IRP"):

\*\*\*

(b) The scope of the IRP is defined with reference to the following terms:

\*\*\*

## (iii) "Disputes" are defined as:

(A)Claims that Covered Actions constituted an action or inaction that violated the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws, including but not limited to any action or inaction that:

- (1) exceeded the scope of the Mission;
- (2) resulted from action taken in response to advice or input from any Advisory Committee or Supporting Organization that are claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;
- (3) resulted from decisions of process-specific expert panels that are claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;
- (4) resulted from a response to a DIDP (as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request that is claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws; or
- (5) arose from claims involving rights of the EC as set forth in the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws.
- (B)Claims that ICANN, the Board, individual Directors, Officers or Staff members have not enforced ICANN's contractual rights with respect to the IANA Naming Function Contract, and
- (C)Claims regarding PTI service complaints by direct customers of the IANA naming functions that are not resolved through mediation.
- (c) Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section 4.3, the IRP's scope shall exclude all of the following:
  - (i) EC challenges to the result(s) of a PDP, unless the Supporting Organization(s) that approved the PDP supports the EC bringing such a challenge;
  - (ii) Claims relating to ccTLD delegations and re-delegations;
  - (iii) Claims relating to Internet numbering resources, and
  - (iv) Claims relating to protocol parameters; and-
  - (v) Claims relating to decisions to approve or not approve an application to the ICANN Grant Program.