

1 Welcome/Introductions

Matt Chair
Daniel K
Jun M/wide
Kato M/wide
Kosters Arin
Rodd Verisign
Craig Verisign
Liman Autonomica./K

Russ sparta/rssac liaison from ssac
Suz ISC/F rssac liaison to ICANN
Abley ICANN/L
House g/h
Ash NTIA
Terry Ican
Crain Ican
Nev caida
Yuji m
sra ISC/F liaison to IETF
nurani Autonomica/K
Fred .br
Koch .de
joao ISC/F
ggm apnic
nagle k
anand k

2. Root DNNSEC deployment update

Matt its done. [applause]
Russ so much better than survey.

Matt at end of dnsops 2.1colorado meeting on tues, 11-11:30
30min slot final update on project with stats.

3. Root scaling report closure.

Suz Root scaling report closure. Few points to discuss, will send around some time ago, ssac/rssac asked to provide recommendations to icann on root scaling, ability of servers to handle larger root, changes in relatively short period of time. dnssec rollout, size of zone, new gTlds. look at scalability concerns. what recommendations to icann on how to approach.

neither ssac/rssac, joint & separately, problems getting to consensus on recommendations to put to icann. problem.

processes gone into finalizing root dnssec rollout, new gTLD process is winding up. people want closure on outstanding issues. been made clear to me as liaison, board is disappointed to get nothing back along the lines of either 'here is what to look out for' or 'no recoms' reasonable to be asked, reasonable to be asked to comment/recommend should answer. at the level we were asked. "what do you guys think matter to you" -bad news is we are about to become critical path. good news is, stuff has come and gone, worked example of cooperation in public, among all parties. unprecedented level of public dissemination. Ican has given to us in Anaheim, study they did on numbers, process considerations on numbers. gave a model with kinds

of numbers being looked at, scaling root, new gTLD. came as happy surprise how many: hundreds, not thousands/tens-of-thousands.

we have to come up with something, good if consensus position but don't know how to get there. people in the room can come up with something sufficiently high level/abstract/principle-driven, doesn't need specific numbers, input to BoD. like to see us do it. Don't see how.

thoughts.

Liman what we're here for. form draft team. produce doc. have circulated. write by committee doesn't work. draft team usually works. specific issues can be dealt with. float in larger env.

not aware of any large controversies in this group regarding issues. form team. 3-5 ppl. willing to be part.

Sra observer, no stake. watch this go by. seen repeating pattern. people propose, poss answer, somebody says 'should we even have opinion on <this>' then ratholed.

have ppl interested, run by group. if group rathole, then charge the group to say 'no opinion' full stop. only proposal.

Suz not up to me to decide. like to have Jun/Matt judge what consensus is to go forward. I want marching orders not in charge of process happy to contribute, insight into how to present to board.

any other takers, to break the logjam? enough changed dnssec deployed an new gTLD scaling, to proceed

JohnC if cannot come to agreement, declare and admit. asked of us, year6mo ago. drafts. pulled to pieces and stopped. lost appetite to go in again. one more go. if fail, declare failure.

Jun if do as Liman says, timescale?

Suz pressure to finalize 'to extent possible' -can come back with caveats and will be heard. input wanted. skeptical about motives, but do want to know. pressure before end of year. closer in, discussions scheduled end of sept identify remaining issues, how to close. would like something by then, tentative pointer.

goal is working draft, can explain, with caveats, not final, by end sept. 2mo away.

1 volunteer. Suz +1 ex officio but not volunteer

Jun not requirement to be root op to cooperate

Joao +1.

Daniel Liman, Suz and.. Joao? [yes]

Jun timeline is eom Sept. can get something shared within August.

Joe Ican staff?

Suz Matt as well.

John happy to review, keep as reserve.

Suz have path forward.

4. new nomcom rep from RSSAC

Matt Bill standing down. need new nominees.
Liman? you've done this?

Liman interesting. role as rssac rep to nomcom is non-voting.
doesn't mean 'no input' get nearer to things, influence who sits
on board, also other positions/roles.

interesting discussions. challenge. take advantage of possibility
of influencing icann board. I've done a bit. Bill has done 4-5 years.

Russ I've done for ssac. where you've come from, even without vote, in
nomcom process. doesn't really seem to matter. very much an engaging
individual-driven kind of activity. ssac was slightly larger. well
over 100 apps. each member of nomcom expected to read, rate. does
take time. frankly tedious. but, becomes interesting when you
get to technology. ssac/rssac/iab liaison. others tend to prefer
non-tech ppl reflect their background. need more tech ppl to review
and promote tech aware ppl into roles.

Jun people on board who understand is most important thing from rssac
PoV. nomcom liaison, physically, be at icann?

Russ one meeting funded by icann, to be at. Now two, could be wrong

Joao its two.

Jun time of icann

Russ held in conjunction, like this meeting before/after. If not going to
go, then go for nomcom and thats it. else, stretch Icann attendance.

Jun as thought. learning about icann is priority. also important to us
as group. promote strong tech flavour into icann groups. being there.
one of the important considerations. How many attend?

[3 hands]

can ask one of them

John doesnt need to be a criteria.

Jun no, but helps.

Russ dont know if procedures will be tightened, one meeting was nomcom only
set up separate venue, independent, now, .. could change again.

John sat as staff member, on periphery. have been separate.

Liman final selection at retreat.

Daniel still the case

Russ icann pays travel. not financial hit

Joao wnt to say, suggested I should put name forward. have asked about this
and impact in the real world, the time, travel, etc. ppl who pay would
have this dedicated, reply was, ok. So, I sent mail to Jun, last week
putting name forward.

Suz been on IETF nomcom?

Joao yes.

Daniel icann one is worse.

Suz broader range of interests, divers tactics.

Daniel more people, more candidates.

Joao ietf nomcom is 10=11 plus advisories, covers, all iesg/iab positions
not sure which is worse. have

Matt lot of work.

Suz probably less than IETF

Matt process? we have a volunteer? next step?

Liman put to ML. some people not here. if none there, done deal.

Jun week to respond. closure by friday.

Matt I will mail list.

Jun what if multiple?

John worry if we get there.

Matt chairs perogative.

5. Process for RSSAC mailing list changes

Matt have ML. sometimes needs change. no real process.
get requests, but not clear what to do.

John I get emails. should I just do it?

Matt so. short of process in general. I will open to floor for suggestions

Daniel actions with agreement of chair, vice-chair. leave to discretion.
appropriate level for this group. if have doubts, consult all of us,
fair?

Suz dont need a lot, just some.

Daniel committee set up in icann by-laws. says. 'this group plus who ever else
chair invites' -this process mirrors that. chairs has perogative to
invite anyone. if want to consult cttee can, if not, not.
straightforward

Sra too simple. we need a draft [laugh]

John how we did in past.

Liman message to list when changes done, so all aware.

Matt good to have simple, minuted, so official. no objects? then process.

Jabley need staff assistance? review?

Matt John has been.

John not always good to have one.

Jabley will give you ticket queue, other ppl to assist.

6. AOB

Kosters RFC5855 redeleg of in-addr.arpa.
at some point, original registries will no longer be part of
rssc, no relevance to roots, in progress, not finished, hope
some time soon, ARIN generates in-addr.arpa. want to move to IANA
for them to do in future. have plan. waiting for agreements to be
taken care of, when done, the tx will happen at some point and
a redeleg away from root will happen.

Sra gone to IAB?

Jabley yes. IAB statement in 5855. and existing process, involving IAB.

Kosters in IAB right now.

Matt any other AOB?

7. next meeting.

Matt Nov 7 Beijing.