
Public Comment Summary Report

Proposal for Latin Script Root Zone Label Generation Rules

Open for Submissions Date:
Thursday, 23 September 2021

Closed for Submissions Date:
Tuesday, 23 November 2021

Summary Report Due Date:
Tuesday, 7 December 2021

Category: Technical

Requester: ICANN org

ICANN org Contact(s): pitinan.koo@icann.org

Open Proceeding Link:
<https://www.icann.org/en/public-comment/proceeding/proposal-for-latin-script-root-zone-label-generation-rules-23-09-2021>

Outcome:
ICANN org is grateful for the feedback from the community and appreciates the comments submitted on the Proposal for Latin Script Root Zone Label Generation Rules.

The nine comments received includes three comments in support, one comment with no objection, and five comments requesting further consideration on code point repertoire and variant code point definitions. These comments are being submitted to the Latin Script Generation Panel (GP) for their consideration and incorporation as needed. As per the [LGR Procedure](#), the Integration Panel will also review these comments as they review the updated proposal from the Latin GP. The final version of the Latin Script LGR proposal for the root zone will be posted on the Root Zone Label Generation Rules (RZ-LGR) proposals [page](#).

Section 1: What We Received Input On

Root Zone Label Generation Rules ([RZ-LGR](#)) are developed to define a conservative mechanism to determine valid Internationalized Domain Name (IDN) top-level domains (TLDs) and their variant labels. The successful development of RZ-LGR depends on having community-based Generation Panels (GPs) for each script that will be used in the root zone.

The Latin Script GP has finalized the proposal for Latin Script RZ-LGR. The proposal was published for Public Comment before finalizing and submitting to the Integration Panel for review and possible integration into the next version of RZ-LGR.

We have received input regarding the code point repertoire, variant code point definitions, and the rules in the proposal.

Section 2: Submissions

Organizations and Groups:		
Name	Submitted by	Initials
Japanese Script Generation Panel	Hiro Hotta	JGP
North American Regional At-Large Organization	Eduardo Diaz	NARALO
Khmer Script Generation Panel	Rapid Sun	KHGP
Armenian Script Generation Panel	Igor Mkrtumyan	AGP
PointQuébec	Louis Houle	PQ
CORE Association	Nacho Amadoz	CORE
Registries Stakeholder Group		RySG
At-Large Advisory Committee		ALAC

Individuals:		
Name	Affiliation (if provided)	Initials
Bill Jouris		BJ

Section 3: Summary of Submissions

ICANN org received nine (9) comments on the Proposal for Latin Script Root Zone Label Generation Rules.

The comments from the Japanese Script Generation Panel (JGP), the Khmer Script Generation Panel (KHGP), and the Registries Stakeholder Group (RySG) agree that the proposal meets its goal of defining Internationalized Domain Name (IDN) labels for the Latin script that are suitable for the root zone.

The Armenian Script Generation Panel (AGP) has no objection to the proposal and lists additional similarity cases between Armenian script and Latin script for further consideration of the Latin GP.

The comment from the North American Regional At-Large Organization (NARALO) recommends further assessment on confusable code points is required. PointQuébec and CORE Association (CORE) request inclusion of variant relationship between characters with diacritic and characters without diacritic. CORE also requests the inclusion of character ‘middle dot’ and the alphabetically ordered language list.

The comments from At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC) and Bill Jouris (BJ) recommend the Latin GP to establish a lower bar for possible confusion analysis, review the Underlining consideration conclusion, include capital letters consideration as a special case in determining confusability, and include more languages which use the Latin script for repertoire analysis. Additionally, BJ requests the Latin GP to mention the variant consideration for numerals for the second level domain names.

Section 4: Analysis of Submissions

The nine comments received includes three comments in support, one comment with no objection, and five comments requesting further consideration on code point repertoire and variant code point definitions. These comments are being submitted to the Latin Script GP for their consideration, further analysis and incorporation in the Latin RZ-LGR proposal, as needed. As per the [LGR Procedure](#), the Integration Panel will also consider the community feedback as it reviews the updated version of the Latin GP proposal for possible integration in the RZ-LGR.

Section 5: Next Steps

After consideration of the comments, and updates by the Latin Script GP as needed, the final version of the Latin LGR proposal for the root zone will be posted on the [RZ-LGR proposals page](#) for further consideration of the Integration Panel.